Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S.

Behavioral Health Protocol Development 9/17/04

Craig Childress, Psy.D. Clinical Psychologist Clinical Director Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Program (949) 824-1891

Kenneth R. Fineman, Ph.D. Forensic Psychologist Expert Consultant Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Program (714) 241-8560

During the past year, FEMA and the Department of Justice have funded the behavioral health team of consultants working with the Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. program to revise the fire evaluation protocols used to assess the motivational and environmental factors contributing to juvenile firesetting behavior. This group of consulting psychologists, consisting of psychologists Kenneth Fineman, Ph.D., Craig Childress, Psy.D., and Brett Patterson, Ph.D., have revised the screening forms used initially by firefighters to evaluate juvenile firesetter behavior in order to determine the level of intervention necessary with the juveniles (Appendices 1-3). The behavioral health consultant team also developed additional professional interview forms as part of a comprehensive behavioral health assessment protocol to be used by mental health professionals to evaluate individual juvenile firesetting behavior (Appendices 4-7).

Both of these new protocols, for screening and for more in-depth behavioral health evaluation, combine original assessment instruments developed by the Fineman Consulting Group with standardized and empirically validated psychological assessment instruments.

Screening of Juvenile Firesetting Behavior:

After reviewing screening protocols used nationally in other programs, the behavioral health team led by Drs. Fineman and Childress determined that the currently available protocols for screening juvenile firesetting behavior were inadequate in their design and approach. The scope of the screening assessments of juvenile firesetting behavior did not comprehensively sample the relevant domains of firesetting behavior, there were no evident theoretical or empirically justified grounds for using the items selected by these instruments for screening juvenile firesetting behavior, and when they included a behavioral health screening component the items selected did not adequately sample for behavioral health problems, lacked empirically derived support for the use of the behavioral health items that were used, and placed front-line fire personnel in the often uncomfortable position of asking about sensitive family and emotional issues in order to elicit relevant information about family functioning and potential behavioral health problems.

Based on this review of current screening instruments, it was determined that original instruments and revisions to the approach to screening juvenile firesetters were needed. The requirements for a new screening assessment were that it needed to be brief and simple enough to be used by fire department personnel and other volunteers within the scope of their contact with juveniles and families, it needed to effectively differentiate between juvenile firesetters presenting with differing levels of behavioral concern who required differing intensities of intervention, and it needed to adequately sample for the range of behaviors indicating behavioral health concerns requiring further professional evaluation.

To accomplish the objective of developing an appropriate and empirically supported approach to screening juvenile firesetting behavior, the Fineman Consulting Group created an original instrument to assess fire-related behavior that collects information on four domains of fire-related behavior determined to be vital to describing juvenile firesetting behavior;

- 1) the juvenile's degree of involvement with firesetting incidents
- 2) the frequency of the juvenile's fire-related behavior
- 3) the juvenile's fire history related to what the juvenile intended to set on fire
- 4) the seriousness of outcomes related to the juvenile's fire history

This new instrument (Appendix 1: "Initial Fire Evaluation Survey") allows for unambiguous criteria to be established for classifying the seriousness of the juvenile's firesetting behavior (Appendix 2: Initial Fire Evaluation Survey Classification Criteria) and it does not place front-line fire agency personnel in the position of inquiring into sensitive family issues or of making sophisticated decisions about the underlying motives of the juvenile firesetter. Based on responses to these four domains, juvenile firesetting behavior can be categorized into simple curiosity or experimentation behavior, more complex firesetting behavior requiring more intensive intervention and behavioral health evaluation, or emergent firesetting behavior requiring immediate intervention.

Rather than requiring front-line fire service personnel to assess for the presence of behavioral health factors that could be contributing to the juvenile's firesetting behavior, the screening protocol developed by the Fineman Consulting Group adds a brief but standardized parent-report questionnaire to assess for behavioral health concerns. For this purpose, several standardized mental health screening instruments were evaluated for their sensitivity and empirical support related to identifying juvenile's who need additional behavioral health evaluation. Based on this evaluation of standardized and empirically validated mental health screening instruments, the *Pediatric Symptom Checklist* (Jellinek Murphy, Burns 1986; Little, Murphy, Jellinek, Bishop Arnett,1994; Jellinek, Murphy, Robinson, et al..1988.) was selected to be included as part of the screening protocol for juvenile firesetters (see Appendix 3). The Pediatric Symptom Checklist is a parent-completed form and the scoring criteria provides an empirically validated cutoff score, above which indicates that additional mental health intervention is warranted.

The Initial *Fire Evaluation Survey* provides front-line fire service personnel with a simple-to-use instrument that assesses the relevant domains of fire-related information, while the parent-completed *Pediatric Symptom Checklist* assesses for the presence of behavioral health

factors that may require additional professional evaluation. The combination of these instruments provides a comprehensive yet brief and easily administered screening assessment of the fire-related features and the behavioral health features without requiring the front-line fire service personnel to become mental health interviewers, and this combination provides clearly defined and unambiguous criteria for classifying the juvenile's firesetting behavior that can be subjected to empirical validation through data collection and future research efforts.

Behavioral Health Assessment Protocol

Once a juvenile is identified as having significant behavioral health concerns, a more thorough behavioral health evaluation of the motivations related to the juvenile's firesetting behavior is necessary in order to guide behavioral health treatment. No currently available juvenile firesetting assessment protocol for mental health professionals could be identified by the behavioral health team, so work began on developing this assessment protocol using original instruments developed for this purpose by the Fineman Consulting Group, supplemented by standardized and empirically validated instruments, that together could assess the juvenile's motivation for engaging in firesetting behavior. The original instruments developed by the Fineman Consulting Group for use by behavioral health professionals to evaluate juvenile firesetting behavior are publicly available on the Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. website in the behavioral health section linked through the homepage sidebar (Appendices 4-6).

The interview formats are currently being pilot tested by the Fineman Consulting Group through a grant provided by the Department of Justice. These semi-structured interview formats are designed to elicit relevant information about factors affecting the juvenile's firesetting behavior, including domains related to school factors, social factors, family factors, and fire-related factors. Information derived from these professionally administered interviews can be used to determine the reinforcement constellations related to the juvenile's fire setting behavior in order to help identify an individual juvenile's motivations regarding his or her firesetting behavior. These reinforcement constellations and relevant environmental factors can be summarized on the *Firesetting Reinforcement Summary* form, another original instrument developed by the Fineman Consulting Group. Once the individual juvenile's motivation for firesetting has been identified, this information can then be used to guide the development of individualized behavioral health treatment plans to intervene with the juvenile's firesetting behavior.

To support this interview and assessment process, the behavioral health care team also evaluated standardized and empirically validated assessment instruments for use as part of the assessment protocol. The behavioral health team selected the *Personality Inventory for Children* – 2nd Edition (Lachar and Gruber, 2001; Lachar and Wirt, 1981) to provide additional standardized information about relevant domains of the juvenile's functioning (Appendix 7). The Personality Inventory for Children – 2nd Edition assesses for areas of problematic adjustment, including problems with Cognitive Impairment, Impulsivity and Distractibility; Delinquency; Family Problems; Reality Distortion; Somatic Concerns; Psychological Discomfort; Social Withdrawal; and Social Skills Deficits when compared with children from the general population.

The full behavioral health assessment protocol is currently being piloted by the Fineman Consulting Group and additional standardized assessment instruments are under consideration

for inclusion into the assessment protocol. Within the near future, forms, instruction manuals, and streaming video instructional clips for administering the behavioral health evaluation protocol should be available through the Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. website though a grant from the Department of Justice.

Data Collection Website

Through a grant from FEMA, the Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S program is in the process of finalizing development of a regional Internet-accessible administrative database for enrolling and tracking program participants. When completed and fully operational, this database will allow fire agencies throughout Orange County, California to enroll juveniles into the program using a secure area Internet-accessible website. This administrative database also allows for monitoring of participant completion of the various educational and behavioral health assessment components of the program. When fully operational, the administrative database is will also allow the local juvenile justice system to enroll participants through their own secure area of the website, and it will allow behavioral health professionals to securely enter information related to the juvenile's motivational and environmental factors related to the firesetting behavior.

The secure administrative database is accessed through a public website by entering a username and password on the website homepage. The data entry area is secured using a 128bit ssl certificate for data communication from client and server. In addition, each area of the administrative website-database requires its own username and password to access. A demonstration area is under construction for "public" viewing of the structural components of the data entry areas, and can be viewed by entering the word "demo" (without the quote marks) for both the username and password on the main Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. homepage (this area is still under construction). The requirements for usernames and passwords within this demo area have been deleted (for the most part) and the areas are all accessible for viewing.

One particularly interesting feature built into this regional database structure is that the Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. project built in the capacity to create parallel databases which use parallel web-forms. These parallel databases are being called independent "entities," and these parallel administrative database entities will soon be available to other regional juvenile firesetting programs to aid in the administration of their programs as well. In the future we envision local expansion of regional juvenile firesetter programs into other Southern California regions, including into Los Angeles and San Diego Counties, at which time we anticipate using the entity structure of the Internet-mediated administrative database to facilitate the administration of these additional regional juvenile firesetter programs.

Phase two modifications to this administrative database are currently being planned. Planned modifications to the Fire Friends administrative database at this time include developing a "Single-Site" database which will be available to other, less extensive, juvenile firesetter intervention programs who will be able to download the "Single-Site" database from the Fire Friends website to their own computer. This "single-site" database is envisioned as an aid to smaller local-area juvenile firesetter programs that have less extensive administrative needs than the regional Fire Friends program, yet who nevertheless need to keep track of their participants and relevant information derived from their interventions.

Future Directions:

One area related to juvenile firesetting that has been identified by the Fineman Consulting Group as requiring additional focus is juvenile explosive use. Many referrals for juvenile firesetting behavior involve juvenile use of fireworks, both legal and illegal, and the construction of homemade explosive devices. While this behavior is related to firesetting in that the use of explosive devices, such as fireworks, can cause fires, the screening assessment for firesetting behavior does not provide an adequate classification system for determining the seriousness of explosive-use behavior. Furthermore, the motivational and environmental factors related to explosive use and explosive construction may be different than that associated with firesetting behavior, and modifications to the behavioral health evaluation may also be necessary. As the Fire Friends program accomplishes its most immediate challenges, we anticipate turning our attention to the area of explosive construction and use.

Appendix 1: Initial Fire Evaluation Survey

Initial Fire Evaluation SurveyChildress, C.A. Fineman, K.R., Patterson, B.L. (2004)

d's Na	me: Date of Birth:
viewe	r: Date of Interview:
on the	best available information:
of Inv	<u>volvement</u>
hat wa	as the juvenile's degree of involvement with the <u>current</u> fire incident (mark one)?
ı Th	nis juvenile actively set the fire and was alone
=	his juvenile actively set the fire as part of a group involved with the fire
	his juvenile did not actively set the fire, but was part of a group involved with the fire
	nis juvenile's degree of involvement is unknown, questionable, or in dispute
<u>ncy</u>	
enile, t	by fire related incidents involving this juvenile (including the most recent episode) are reported by the the juvenile's parents, or other available informants? This includes all fire experimentation with peers or d all firesetting behavior with peers or alone.
	1 or 2 times \square 3 - 4 times \square More than 4 times
_	and to <u>all past and current</u> fire related behaviors, indicate what the juvenile intended to set on fire in each ad incident (mark all that apply). Has this juvenile ever:
	d meldent (mark an that apply). Has this juvenile ever.
	lit and watched the flame on a match or lighter
	lit an appropriate fire (e.g., a candle or barbecue)
	lit a small piece of paper, small object, toy, or twig (unattached to a plant) on fire, or singed an object
	lit a small controlled vegetation fire (such as a small pile of leaves or twigs, or a part of a large plant)
	lit a bonfire (such as a large paper fire, wood-fueled fire, trash can or dumpster fire)
	lit an accelerant by itself or lit an accelerant on any other type of fire (e.g., small fire, bonfire, wildfire, etc.
	lit on fire the personal property of another person, such as a peer, classmate, or family member
	lit on fire an unoccupied structure, or unoccupied vehicle
	lit an uncontrolled wildfire
_	lit on fire an occupied structure, or occupied vehicle
	lit a fire to injure or kill an animal or person
•	of the juvenile's fire related behavior resulted in any of the following outcomes ? (mark all that apply).
	lighting on fire an unoccupied structure, or unoccupied vehicle
	lighting an uncontrolled wildfire
	lighting on fire an occupied structure, or occupied vehicle
	lighting a fire that injured or killed an animal or person
	th regarder relates no continued as any

Appendix 2: Fire Evaluation Survey Classification Criteria

Initial Fire Evaluation Survey Scoring Criteria Form

			Childres		eman, K.R., Patterson, B.L.	(2004)			
		Sim	ple	(Complex		Emergent		
Sco	Score as the highest level of concern rated.								
<u>De</u>	gree c	of Inv	<u>olvement</u>						
1.	Wh	nat wa	s the juvenile's degree of i	involvement	t with the <u>current</u> fire incident (mark one	e)?		
		Th	is juvenile actively set the	fire and was	alone				
			•	•	of a group involved with the fir				
					but was part of a group involve		ne fire		
		Th	is juvenile's degree of inve	olvement is	unknown, questionable, or in di	ispute			
Fre	quen	<u>cy</u>							
2.	juve	nile, t		ther availabl	uvenile (including the most rece e informants? This includes all alone.				
			1 or 2 times \Box	3 - 4 times	☐ More than 4 times				
Inte	<u>ent</u>								
3.			rd to all past and current d incident (mark all that ap		behaviors, indicate what the jurnis juvenile ever:	venile int	rended to set on fire in each		
			lit and watched the flame	on a match	or lighter				
			lit an appropriate fire (e.g	g., a candle c	or barbecue)				
				•	t, toy, or twig (unattached to a	• '	*		
					such as a small pile of leaves o				
			` `	•	e, wood-fueled fire, trash can o	•	<i>'</i>		
					•	, -	nall fire, bonfire, wildfire, etc.)		
			lit on fire an unoccupied	- ·	other person, such as a peer, cla	issinate, c	or family member		
			lit an uncontrolled wildfi	<u> </u>	unoccupied venicle				
			lit on fire an occupied str		ccupied vehicle				
			lit a fire to injure or kill a						
Ou	tcome	9	v		•				
4.		_	f the juvenile's fire related	l hehavior re	sulted in any of the following of	uitcomes	? (mark all that apply)		
т.	yes	no	i the juvenile 3 life related	i ochavioi ic	suited in any of the following t	dicomes	: (mark an mat appry).		
			lighting on fire an unocci	upied structu	are, or unoccupied vehicle				
			lighting an uncontrolled	wildfire					
			lighting on fire an occupi		*				
			lighting a fire that injured	d or killed ar	n animal or person				

Appendix 3: Pediatric Symptom Checklist

PEDIATRIC SYMPTOM CHECKLIST

Child Psychiatry Bulfinch 351 55 Fruit Street Boston, MA 02114 617.724.3163



The following information relates to the psychometric properties of the PSC:

Instructions for Scoring: The PSC consists of 35-items that are rated as never, sometimes, or often present and scored 0, 1, and 2, respectively. Item scores are summed and the total score is recoded into a dichotomous variable indicating psychosocial impairment. For children aged six through sixteen, the cut-off score is 28 or higher. For four and five year-old children, the PSC cut-off is 24 or higher (Little et al, 1994; Pagano et al, 1996). Items that are left blank by parents are simply ignored (score = 0). If four or more items are left blank, the questionnaire is considered invalid.

How to Interpret the PSC: A positive score on the PSC suggests the need for further evaluation by a qualified health (M.D., R.N.) or mental health (Ph.D, LICSW) professional. Both false positives and false negatives occur, and only an experienced clinician should interpret a positive PSC score as anything other than a suggestion that further evaluation may be helpful. Data from past studies using the PSC indicate that 2 out of 3 children who screen positive on the PSC will be correctly identified as having moderate to serious impairment in psychosocial functioning. The one child "incorrectly" identified usually has at least mild impairment, although a small percentage of children turn out to have very little actually wrong with them (e.g., an adequately functioning child of an overly anxious parent). Data on PSC-negative screens indicate 95% accuracy, which, although statistically adequate, still means that 1 out of 20 children rated as functioning adequately may actually be impaired. The inevitability of both false-positive and false-negative screens underscores the importance of experienced clinical judgment in interpreting PSC scores. Therefore, it is especially important for parents or other lay people who administer the form to consult with a licensed professional if their child receives a PSC-positive score.

Validity: Using a Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve, Jellinek, Murphy, Robinson, et al (1988) found that a PSC cutoff score of 28 has a specificity of 0.68 and a sensitivity of 0.95 when compared to clinicians' ratings of children's psychosocial dysfunction. In other words, 68% of the children identified as PSC-positive will also be identified as impaired by an experienced clinician, and, conversely, 95% of the children identified as PSC-negative will be identified as unimpaired.

Reliability: Test-re-test reliability of the PSC ranges from r = .84 - .91. Over time, case/not case classification ranges from 83% - 87%. (Jellinek & Murphy, 1988; Murphy et al, 1992).

Inter-item Analysis: Our studies (Murphy & Jellinek, 1985; Murphy, Ichinose, Hicks, et al, 1996) also indicate strong (Cronbach alpha = .91) internal consistency of the PSC items and highly significant (p < 0.0001) correlations between individual PSC items and positive PSC screening scores.

Qualifications for Use of the PSC: The training required may differ according to the ways in which the data are to be used. Professional school (e.g., medicine or nursing) or graduate training in psychology of at least the Master's degree level would ordinarily be expected. However, no amount of prior training can substitute for professional maturity, a thorough knowledge of clinical research methodology, and supervised training in working with parents and children. There are no special qualifications for scoring.

Appendix 4: Child Interview

Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Behavioral Health Evaluation Interview – Child

Childress, C.A., Fineman, K.R, Patterson, B.L (2004)

Interviewer	Date							
Juvenile's Name								
Gender D.O.B Ethnicity/Race								
Address	Phone							
	_							
School	Grade							
Smoker: ☐ No one ☐ Parent(s) / Step-paren ☐ Juvenile ☐ Other family member								
Development of Rapport The purpose of this section is to make the child comfortable with you. The more at ease you can make the child, the greater the likelihood that he or she will answer all of your questions. If the following questions aren't enough, add your own. Questions or language can be modified throughout this form to accommodate the age of the child or adolescent.								
Introduce yourself: I'm What's your name	2?							
How old are you?								
What school do you go to? What grade are you in?								
Do you like your school?								
Are there nice/okay teachers at your school?								
What classes/subjects do you like/not like?								
What do you do for fun? Do you have any hobbies								
Who's your best friend?								
What do you like to play/do with your friend?								
What do you watch on TV and what videos do you watch?								
What is your favorite person/show on TV?								
What is your favorite video/computer game?								
What do you like about that game (note if there excessive violence or fire)?								
When rapport is established, determine level of understanding if the child is under age 7, or appears to have problems communicating.								

This interview protocol was developed from the dynamic-behavioral theory of firesetting behavior articulated by K.R. Fineman, Ph.D., and based on Dr. Fineman's prior work in developing the FEMA Risk Assessment Interview

Fineman, K.R. (1995). A model for the qualitative analysis of child and adult fire deviant behavior. *American Journal of Forensic Psychology*, 13, 31-60.

Fineman, K.R. (1997). Comprehensive FireRisk Assessment. In Poage, Doctor, Day, Rester, Velasquez, Moynihan, Flesher, Cooke & Marshburn (Eds.), *Juvenile Firesetter Prevention Program: Training Seminar Vol. I* (pp. 1-25), Denver, Colorado: Colorado Division of Fire Safety.

School Issues

Tell me about school.

Issues to ans	swer:	The j	uvenile's s	sense of cor	mpetence in school as	oppos	ed to feelin	gs of inadequacy and frustration.
Do you like	What What	's your fa don't yo	vorite thin u like abou		nool? nange about school, wh	nat wo	ould it be?	
Clinical Summary:	C1 C2	J	· ·	•	s to feel capable and c	•		
Issues to ans	swer:	Abili	ty to accep	t adult autl	nority in the school sett	ting.		
Do you follo	ow the	direction	s of your t	eachers(s)	most of the time?			
					s? Do they ever make ou? For what?	you m	nad?	
Clinical Summary:	C1 C2	J		•	ot adult authority and for			
Issues to ans		Well	regulated	and approp				quent misbehavior and externalizing
Have you go	otten in							
	(e.	g., sent t	o the princ	ipal's offic	isbehavior at school e, restricted from reces several times a day, or			notes home to parents, suspended, etc.)? nes a week, etc)
Clinical Summary:	C1 C2			-	ell regulated and fairly nt frequent behavior p			
I		A 1.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4	t'	
Issues to ans			-		sign of distress, frustr			ngement.
Have there been any problems with your school performance in the last year? What sort of grades do you get? Has there been any change in your grades? In what subject do you get the best grade? Worst grade? How do your parents feel about your grades?								
Clinical Summary:								
Number of 0	C1 resp	onses			Number of C2 respon	nses		

Social Relationships Tell me about how you get along with other kids your age

Issues to answer: Whether or not the juvenile has friends Do you have friends you spend time with or do you spend most of your time alone? What's the name of some of your friends? How old are they? What sort of things to you do together? Do you have as many friends as you want? Clinical C1 The juvenile has age-appropriate friendships Summary: C2The juvenile is socially isolated, has very few friends, or has friends who are much younger (2-3 years) Issues to answer: Whether the juvenile is accepted or rejected by peers Do you get along with other children? Do you get picked on or teased by other children? Do you get left out of games and activities by other children? Are you invited to birthday parties? Whose? Clinical C1 The juvenile is accepted by peers Summary: C2 The juvenile is teased or socially isolated by peers Peer influence on the juvenile's behavior Issues to answer: Do you think your friends are a bad influence on you? Do your friends get into trouble for the things they do? Do your friends ever cut classes or do things they shouldn't? Do you sometimes do things you shouldn't just to go along with your friends? Clinical C1 The juvenile's friends do not present a bad influence on the juvenile's behavior Summary: C2The juvenile may be susceptible to bad peer influences Issues to answer: Extracurricular activities and positive alternatives to problem behavior What sort of things to you do in your free time? Are you on any organized sports teams, or do you belong to any clubs or groups? Do you have any hobbies or interests? What sort of things to you do for fun? Clinical The juvenile has appropriate interests, hobbies or activities, and makes relatively good use of free time C1 Summary: C2 The juvenile is not involved and has a great deal of unstructured free time Number of C1 responses Number of C2 responses

Family Relationships Tell me about the people in your family.

Issues to ans	swer:	The quality of the mother - child relationship
Usually, how	Do yo Descr	do you get along with your mother? ou fight or argue with your mother? How often? About what? ribe a recent fight you had with your mother? Are you afraid of your mother? ou spend as much time with your mother as you'd like?
Clinical Summary:	C1 C2 C3	Juvenile's relationship with mother is within a normal range of closeness Juvenile's relationship with mother is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or distance Juvenile's relationship with mother is highly dysfunctional (e.g., mother's substance abuse, physical abuse)

Issues to an	swer:	The quality of the father - child relationship
Usually, ho	Do yo Desci	do you get along with your father? ou fight or argue with your father? How often? About what? ribe a recent fight you had with your father? Are you afraid of your father? ou spend as much time with your father as you'd like?
Clinical	C1	Juvenile's relationship with father is within a normal range of emotional and physical closeness
Summary:	C2	Juvenile's relationship with father is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or distance
	C3	Juvenile's relationship with father is highly dysfunctional (e.g., father's substance abuse, physical abuse)

Issues to an	swer:	The quality of the step-parent - child relationship
Usually, ho	Do yo Desci	do you get along with your step-parent? ou fight or argue with your step-parent? How often? About what? ribe a recent fight you had with your step-parent? Are you afraid of your step-parent? ou spend as much time with your step-parent as you'd like?
Clinical Summary:	C1	N/A or the juvenile's relationship with step-parent is within a normal range of closeness
Summary.	C2	Juvenile's relationship with step-parent is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or distance
	С3	Juvenile's relationship with step-parent is highly dysfunctional (e.g., mother substance abuse, physical abuse)

Issues to an	swer:	ver: The quality of the parental relationship between his biological parents							
How well do your mother and father get along? Do they every get into arguments with each other? How often? What happens when they argue or fight? Do they yell? Do they ever hit each other? How do you feel when they argue/fight? What do you do when they argue/fight?									
Clinical Summary:	C1 C2	Biological parents' relationship is within a normal range of closeness Juvenile's parents argue or fight frequently and/or juvenile is significantly distressed by their fighting							
	СЗ	Juvenile's parents engage in physical fighting and/or child is extremely distressed by their fighting							

Issues to answer: Sibling relationships Tell me about your brothers and sisters. Usually, how well do you get along with them? What happens when you get in arguments with your brother (sister)? Is your brother or sister someone you could turn to when you're in trouble? Could your brother (sister) turn to you if he (she) was in trouble? Sibling relationships are within the normal range of closeness Clinical C1 Summary: C2Sibling relationships are marked by excessive fighting or emotional distress Issues to answer: Discipline practices What do you do that gets you into trouble at home? What happens when you get into trouble? How do your parents discipline you when you get in trouble? How often do you get into trouble at home? Clinical Acceptable discipline practices (time-out, grounding, response cost, mild to moderate parental anger) C1 Summary:

Issues to answer: Crisis or trauma Within the last year, has anything bad happened in your life? Has anything bad happened in your family? Has there been any ongoing crisis or problem in your life or with your family? Clinical No crisis or trauma C1 Summary: C2Moderate crisis or trauma C3 Significant crisis or trauma

Excessive or inappropriate discipline practices

Physical or emotional abuse

C2

Issues to answer: Stability of the home environment Have you lived with your parents throughout your life? Have there been any times when you had to live with relatives, or at placements? (If there has been a divorce) Do you live with your mom, or dad, or both. Has it always been that way? Clinical C1 Relatively stable home environment, lives with both parents or stable living situation following a divorce Summary: C2Moderate instability in home environment. Frequent moves, changes in living situation C3 Significant instability, history of foster care placement or living with relatives due to parental instability

Number of C1 responses		Number of C2 responses			Number of C3 responses	
------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	------------------------	--

<u>Fire Features</u> Tell me about the fires you've set

Issues to an	swer:	Numbe	r of fires	set - the	frequency of this beha	vior				
How many	fires ha	ve you set	t?		•			_		
	Tell n	ne about t	he fire se	etting inc	idents you've been in	vith ot	her child	lren.		
Clinical Summary:	C1	The juvenile has set only this one referral fire or has been involved with only one peer-set fire								
The juvenile has set between 1 to 5 previous fires or has been involved with between 1-5 peer-							•	res		
	C3	The juv	enile has	s set mor	e than 5 previous fires	or has	been in	volved in	n more than 5 peer-set fires	
Issues to an	swer:	Severity	y of fires	set - the	degree of concern reg	arding	previou	s fire set	ting behavior	
Tell me abo	What Have	types of t	hings ha lit a strud	cture (ho	t on fire? What was th use, building, etc.) on y property. Have you	fire? I	Have you	ı ever lit	brush (twigs, leaves, etc.) on f	fire?
Clinical	C1	The juv	enile's	fires hav	e been limited to small	items	or firepl	ay, such	as lighting matches or small p	papers
Summary:	C2	The juv an acce		s lit smal	l bonfires, small contro	olled v	egetation	n fires, h	as lit fires to destroy property	or used
	C3	The juv	venile ha	s lit unce	ontrolled wildfire, or h	as lit f	res to ha	ırm perso	ons or animals	
Issues to an	swer:	The effe	ort the ju	ıvenile p	uts into gathering mate	rials o	r starting	g the fire		
Where did y	Where What	e did you did you li	get the light on fi	ighter or ire? Hov	e? matches? v did you decide to lig an to set the fire?	nt that	on fire?	Where o	did you get the item?	
Clinical Summary:	C1								was readily available. The igni juvenile's environment.	ition
	C2	The juv	enile pla	nned the	e fire and expended eff	ort to g	ather eit	her the r	material or the ignition source	
	С3		-	ns fires ignition		forwa	d to the	fire, and	or makes significant effort to	gather
Issues to an	swer:	The deg	gree of re	einforcen	nent the child receives	from t	he fire			
What do yo	What	did you d	o after y	ou set th	think about fire when e fire? Did you stay a ned? Excited? Angry?				ties?	
Clinical	C1	The juv	enile set	s fires fr	om curiosity or experi	nentat	on			
Summary:	C2									
	С3	The juv	enile dei	rives mu	ch reinforcement from	fires (e.g., thin	ks about	fire, feels elated or powerful)	
Number of	C1 resp	onses			Number of C2 respon	ises			Number of C3 responses	

How long ago? 0-1 month 2-3 months 3-6 months 6-12 months 1-2 years 2+ years

Tell me about when you set the fire (identify the specific incident).

Tell me about what you were doing right	Tell me about how you set the fire.	What did you do after the fire was lit?
before the fire was set?	What did you light on fire?	Did you stay and watch? (or run away? or try and
What were you doing? What were you doing right	Why did you choose to light (object) on fire?	put it out? or go and tell someone?)
before that?	What were you feeling just as, or just before, you	How did the fire make you feel? Did it make you
Were you with other people (alone)?	lit the fire?	feel happy (less sad, less angry, less afraid, powerful, excited)?
Were you feeling sad (angry, afraid/anxious)?	What did you use to start the fire?	After the fire, did you feel guilty (powerful,
What were you thinking about?	Where did you get the (lighter/matches)?	ashamed, afraid, remorseful, relaxed)?
Had you been using any drugs or alcohol?	Did you use anything to make the fire burn stronger, like lighter fluid or gasoline?	Did you like to see all the fire trucks and activity?

Describe the child's responses to questions about events/feelings/behavior/thoughts before, during and after the fire setting incident

	Before the Fire Set	During the Fire Set	After the Fire Set
Behavior			
Feeling			
Thoughts			

Appendix 5: Parent Interview

Fire F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Behavioral Health Evaluation Interview – **Parent** Childress, C.A., Fineman, K.R, Patterson, B.L (2004)

Interviewer		Date					
Juvenile's Name							
Gender	D.O.B	Ethnicity/Race					
Address		Phone					
School		Grade					
Smoker:	 □ No one □ Parent(s) / Step-pare □ Uvenile □ Other person in the 	· ·					
the problem, f		ne opportunity to describe the scope of far to address the problem. It also offers					
Let's start by h	aving you tell me something about your concerns and what	brings you here today.					
What do you th	nink is going on that's causing this situation?						
Has this always	s been the case or has it gotten worse recently?						
What have you	tried to do to correct the situation?						
	v protocol was developed from the dynamic-behavioral K.R. Fineman, Ph.D., and based on Dr. Fineman's prionterview	•					
	K.R. (1995). A model for the qualitative analysis of chi <i>Journal of Forensic Psychology</i> , 13, 31-60.	ild and adult fire deviant behavior.					

Fineman, K.R. (1997). Comprehensive FireRisk Assessment. In Poage, Doctor, Day, Rester, Velasquez, Moynihan, Flesher, Cooke & Marshburn (Eds.), *Juvenile Firesetter Prevention*

Program: Training Seminar Vol. I (pp. 1-25), Denver, Colorado: Colorado Division of Fire Safety.

Describe your child's fire behavior
What has juvenile lit on fire?
When did it start?
What have you
done about it?
What souts of
What sorts of consequences
have there been?
Why do you think
it's happening?

Issues to answer:	Current Family Structure & Relevant Family History
Now I'd like to get a	a little information about your family, and the family context that your child lives in.
siblings, and whe follow clinical land parenthood, dat physical or sexu	g information about family structure, who lives in the home, ages of parents and hether there is extended family support available. This also offers the opportunity to leads into information about divorces, multiple fatherhood of the children, steples of significant family events, such as deaths, accidents, illnesses, etc., history of hall abuse, relevant family psychiatric history, history of drug and alcohol abuse. Leads and make notes related to family structure, family history, and family context for ehavior.
Simple structural ge	nogram with notes:

Timeline of Behavior and Events

Issues to answer:	A coherent timeline of significant events in the child's life and behavior related to fire setting behavior						
Now I'd like to get a his/her life:	a sense of where your child's firesetting behavior fits with other things going on in						
Follow the individual leads offered by the parent to identify significant milestones in the life of the child (e.g., illnesses, onset of behavior problems, onset of academic problems, family moves, family dissolutions, onset of academic problems, etc. Use a scale that seems to fit the relevant information, particularly related to the onset of the juvenile's fire behavior, significant fire related behaviors, and recent firesetting behaviors. In some circumstances, it may be helpful to write the relevant dates or ages on the timeline and use both sides of the timeline to record relevant child, family, or fire related events							

School Issues Tell me about your child's school.

Issues to answer:		The juvenile's sense of competence in school as opposed to feelings of inadequacy and frustration.					
Does your child like		e school/learning?					
	What's	s his or her favorite thing about school?					
What doesn't he or she like about school?							
If there were one thing you could change about your child's school situation, what would it be?							
Cl. : 1	C1	The juvenile generally seems to be functioning competently in school					
Clinical Summary:	C2						
Summary.	C2	The juvenile is functioning poorly in school and seems to feel of inadequate about school					
Issues to ans	swer:	Ability to accept adult authority in the school setting.					
Does your c	hild foll	ow the directions of his or her teachers(s) most of the time?					
	Do yo	ur child get along with his or her teachers? Does your child ever get mad at teachers?					
	Do yo	ur teachers ever get mad at your child? For what?					
Clinical	C1	The juvenile seems to accept adult authority and follow directions in school					
Summary:	C2	The juvenile seems to have conflicts with authority in the school setting					
- C2 The juven		Well-regulated and appropriate school behavior as opposed to frequent misbehavior and					
issues to answer.		externalizing behavioral signs of emotional distress.					
Has your ch	ild gotte	en in trouble at school?					
		your child ever been punished for misbehavior at school (e.g., sent to the principal's office, restricted recess, lose "points", notes home to parents, suspended, etc.)?					
		often does this happen? (e.g., several times a day, once a day, 2-3 times a week, etc.)					
Clinical	C1	The juvenile seems fairly well regulated and fairly well behaved at school					
Summary:	C2	The juvenile seems to present frequent behavior problems at school					
Issues to ans	swer:	Academic performance as a sign of distress, frustration, or discouragement.					
Have there l	oeen any	problems with your child's academic performance at school in the last year?					
	•	t sort of grades does your child get? Has there been any change in his or her grades?					
In what subject does your child get the best grade? Worst grade?							
		our child's parent, how do you feel about your child's grades?					
	110 your child a parent, now do you reet about your child a grades.						
Clinical	C1	The juvenile appears to be performing at grade level expectations?					
Summary:	C2	The juvenile appears to be struggling significantly in some academic areas?					

Number of C2 responses

Number of C1 responses

Social Relationships Tell me about how your child gets along with other kids his or her age

Social Rela		s Ten me about now your china gets along with other kids ins or her age	
Issues to answer:		Whether or not the juvenile has friends	
Does your ch		friends that he or she spends time with or does your child spend most of his or her time alone?	
		the name of some of your child's friends? How old are they?	
		sort of things does you child do together with his or her friends?	
	Does y	rour child have as many friends as he or she wants?	
Clinical	C1	The juvenile has age-appropriate friendships	
Summary:	C2	The juvenile is socially isolated, has very few friends, or has friends who are much younger (2-3 years)	
Issues to ans	wer:	Whether the juvenile is accepted or rejected by peers	
Does your go	et along v	with other children?	
	Does y	our child get picked on or teased by other children?	
	Does y	your child get left out of games and activities by other children?	
	Is your	child invited to birthday parties? Whose?	
Clinical	C1	The juvenile is accepted by peers	
Summary:	C2	The juvenile is teased or socially isolated by peers	
Issues to answer: Peer influence on the juvenile's behavior			
Do you think	your ch	ild's friends are a bad influence on him or her?	
	Do you	ur child's friends get into trouble for the things they do?	
	Do you	ur child's friends ever cut classes or do things they shouldn't?	
	Does y	your child sometimes do things he or she shouldn't just to go along with his or her friends?	
Clinical	C1	The juvenile's friends do not present a bad influence on the juvenile's behavior	
Summary:	C2	The juvenile may be susceptible to bad peer influences	
Issues to ans	wer:	Extracurricular activities and positive alternatives to problem behavior	
What sort of	things do	oes your do in his or her free time?	
	Is your	child on any organized sports teams, or does your child belong to any clubs or groups?	
		your child have any hobbies or interests?	
	What s	sort of things does your child do for fun?	
Clinical	C1	The juvenile has appropriate interests, hobbies or activities, and makes relatively good use of free time	
Summary:	C2	The juvenile is not involved and has a great deal of unstructured free time	
L		,	

Number of C1 responses			Number of C2 responses	
------------------------	--	--	------------------------	--

<u>Family Relationships</u> Tell me about the relationships in your family.

Issues to answer:	The qua	lity of the mother - child relationship				
	-	child's mother get along with your child?				
Osually, now wen	Do the child and mother argue and fight? How often? About what?					
		e a recent fight between the child and the mother? Is the child afraid of the mother?				
		e child's mother spend as much time as the child wants with the child?				
		-T				
CI I	C1	Juvenile's relationship with mother is within a normal range of closeness				
Clinical Summary:	C2 C3	Juvenile's relationship with mother is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or distance Juvenile's relationship with mother is highly dysfunctional (e.g., mother's substance abuse, physical abuse)				
Issues to answer:	The qua	lity of the father - child relationship				
Usually how well	does the	child's father get along with your child?				
osually, now wen		child and father argue and fight? How often? About what?				
		e a recent fight between the child and the father? Is the child afraid of the father?				
		e child's father spend as much time as the child wants with the child?				
		•				
	C1	Juvenile's relationship with father is within a normal range of emotional and physical closeness				
Clinical	C2	Juvenile's relationship with father is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or distance				
Summary:	C3	Juvenile's relationship with father is highly dysfunctional (e.g., father's substance abuse, physical abuse)				
Issues to answer:	The qua	lity of the step-parent (guardian) - child relationship				
Usually, how well	does the	child's step-parent get along with your child?				
	Do the o	child and step-parent argue and fight? How often? About what?				
	Describ	e a recent fight between the child and the step-parent? Is the child afraid of the step-parent?				
	Does the	e child's step-parent spend as much time as the child wants with the child?				
	C1	N/A or the juvenile's relationship with step-parent is within a normal range of closeness				
Clinical	C2	Juvenile's relationship with step-parent is marked by frequent fights, rejection, hostility, or				
Summary:	CZ	distance Invention and the property of the pr				
	C3	Juvenile's relationship with step-parent is highly dysfunctional (e.g., step-parent substance abuse, physical abuse)				
Issues to answer:	The qua	lity of the parental relationship between his biological parents				
How well do the c	hild's mot	ther and father get along?				
	Do they	every get into arguments with each other? How often?				
What happens when they argue or fight? Do they yell? Do they ever hit each other?						
What does the child do when they argue/fight?						
	C1	Biological parents' relationship is within a normal range of closeness				
Clinical Summary:	C2	Juvenile's parents argue or fight frequently and/or juvenile is significantly distressed by their fighting				
<u> </u>	C3	Juvenile's parents engage in physical fighting and/or child is extremely distressed by their fighting				

Issues to answer:	Sibling relationships							
	<u> </u>							
Usually, how well doe	es your child get along with his or her siblings?							
	at happens when your child gets into arguments with his or her brother (sister)?							
	Is your child's sibling someone your child would turn to if your child was in trouble? Would your shild's brother (sixter) turn to your shild if the brother or sixter was in trouble?							
	Would your child's brother (sister) turn to your child if the brother or sister was in trouble?							
Clinical Summary:	C1 Sibling relationships are within the normal range of closeness							
	C2 Sibling relationships are marked by excessive fighting or emotional distress							
Issues to answer:	Discipline practices							
What does your child	do that gets him or her into trouble at home?							
	What happens when your child gets into trouble?							
	How do the parents discipline the child when he or she get in trouble?							
_	How often does your get into trouble at home?							
Clinical Summary:	C1 Acceptable discipline practices (time-out, grounding, response cost, mild to moderate parental anger)							
Cimical Summary.	C2 Excessive or inappropriate discipline practices (too harsh or too lax)							
	C3 Physical or emotional abuse							
Issues to answer:	Crisis or trauma							
Within the last year, h	as anything bad happened in your child's life?							
	Has anything bad happened in your child's family?							
	Has there been any ongoing crisis or problem in your child's life or with your family?							
	C1 No crisis or trauma							
Clinical Summary:	C2 Moderate crisis or trauma							
	C3 Significant crisis or trauma							
Issues to answer:	Stability of the home environment							
Has your child lived y	vith his or her parents throughout the child's life?							
rias your child lived v	Have there been any times when your child had to live with relatives, or at placements?							
	(If there has been a divorce) Does your child live with mom, or dad, or both. Has it always been that way?							
	the second secon							
	C1 Relatively stable home environment, lives with both parents or stable living situation following a divorce							
Clinical Summary:	C2 Moderate instability in home environment. Frequent moves, changes in living situation							
•	Significant instability, history of foster care placement or living with relatives due to parental							
	instability							
Name 1 COL	N1602							
Number of C1 respons	Ses Number of C2 responses Number of C3 responses							

<u>Fire Features</u> Tell me about the fires your child has set

Number of C1 responses

riic reatu	1105	Ten me about the mes your emid has set
Issues to answer:		Number of fires set - the frequency of this behavior
How		many fires has your child set?
	What	about with other children?
	C1	The juvenile has set only this one referral fire or has been involved with only one peer-set fire
Clinical Summary:	C2	The juvenile has set between 1 to 5 previous fires or has been involved with between 1-5 peer-set fires
•	C3	The juvenile has set more than 5 previous fires or has been involved in more than 5 peer-set fires
Issues to ans	swer:	Severity of fires set - the degree of concern regarding previous fire setting behavior
Describe the	e fires y	our child has set?
	•	types of things has your child lit on fire? What was the largest fire your child has set?
	Has y	our child ever lit a structure (house, building, etc.) on fire? Has your child ever lit brush (twigs, leaves) on fire
	Has y	our child ever lit a fire to destroy property? Has your child ever lit a fire to hurt someone?
	C1	The juvenile's fires have been limited to small items or fireplay, such as lighting matches or small papers
Clinical Summary:	C2	The juvenile has lit small bonfires, small controlled vegetation fires, has lit fires to destroy property or used an accelerant
	C3	The juvenile has lit uncontrolled wildfire, or has lit fires to harm persons or animals
Issues to an	swer:	The effort the juvenile puts into gathering materials or starting the fire
	Wher	e did your child get the material to start the fire?
	Wher	e did your child get the lighter or matches?
	What	did your child light on fire? Where did your child get the item?
	Did y	our child plan to set the fire in advance?
	C1	The juvenile made minimal effort to gather material. Used whatever was readily available. The ignition source (matches/lighter/magnifying glass) was readily available in the juvenile's environment.
Clinical Summary:	C2	The juvenile planned the fire and expended effort to gather either the material or the ignition source
Summary.	С3	The juvenile plans fires well in advance and/or makes significant effort to gather materials or the ignition source.
Issues to an	swer:	The degree of reinforcement the child receives from the fire
	What activi	does the child like about setting fires? Do your child think or talk about fire when he or she is doing other ties?
What did your child do after setting the fire? Did y		did your child do after setting the fire? Did your child stay and watch? Run away?
	Was y	your child afraid? Happy? Ashamed? Excited? Angry?
	C1	The juvenile sets fires from curiosity or experimentation
Clinical Summary:	C2	The juvenile derives moderate reinforcement from fires (e.g., excitement, relief from boredom, peer interaction)
	С3	The juvenile derives much reinforcement from fires (e.g., thinks about fire, feels elated or powerful)

Number of C2 responses

Number of C3 responses

Appendix 6: Firesetting Reinforcement Summary

Firesetting Reinforcement Summary

Childress, C.A. Fineman, K.R., Patterson, B.L (2004)

		Date of Birth: nterview Date:		
	te to what degree each of the following sources of reinfo esetting behavior:	orcement con	tributed to	this
Fire as Foc	us – Internal Source of Reinforcement			
Increase		Not at all	Somewhat	Highly
1.	Excitement – general arousal - interest	0	1	2
2.	Happiness – pleasure – satisfaction – fire fascination	0	1	2
3	Relaxation – calm - peace	0	1	2
4	Power – self-importance – self-efficacy - control	0	1	2
5.	Sexual excitement – sexual arousal – sensual arousal	0	1	2
6.	Spiritual elation – religious fervor - ritualistic	0	1	2
7.	Guilt – remorse – shame	0	1	2
Decreas		0	1	
8.	Depression – sadness	0	1	2
9.	Grief – sense of loss	0	1	2
10.	Low self-esteem	0	1	2
11.	Boredom	0	1	2
12.	Anxiety – worry	0	1	2
13.	Tension – uncomfortable arousal – fidgety	0	1	2
14.	Anger – irritation	0	1	2
Instrument Increase	tal Use of Fire – External Source of Reinforceme	<u>ent</u>		
15.	Peer attention	0	1	2
16.		0	1	2
17.	Parent attention – parent involvement Status – peer esteem – importance in the group	0	1	2
18.	Money	0	1	2
Destruc	•	0	1	
19.	Harm directed toward a personal target (person or property)	0	1	2
20.	Harm directed toward a societal target (e.g. vandalism)	0	1	2
21.	Harm directed at a member of a group (e.g. racism)	0	1	2
22.	Criminal activity	0	1	2
23.	Celebratory vandalism (socio-cultural vandalism)	0	1	2
24.	Righteous cause	0	1	2
25.	Vent anger – hate - jealousy - revenge	0	1	2

Firesetting Reinforcement Summary - Associated Environmental Factors

Child's Name:		Date	Date of Birth:						
			Past year			Lifetime			
Fam	ilv	Not at all	Somewhat	Highly		Not at all	Somewhat	Highly	
26.	Positive and supportive family relationships	0	1	2		0	1	2	
27.	Divorce / separation	0	1	2		0	1	2	
28.	Death in the close family	0	1	2		0	1	2	
29.	Hostility in family – emotional / verbal abuse	0	1	2		0	1	2	
30.	Depression in family	0	1	2		0	1	2	
31	Anxiety in family	0	1	2		0	1	2	
32.	Family instability - chaotic	0	1	2		0	1	2	
33.	Family financial problems	0	1	2		0	1	2	
34.	Physical abuse – physically abusive discipline	0	1	2		0	1	2	
35.	Sexual abuse	0	1	2		0	1	2	
Scho				_	1				
36.	Adequate to good school performance	0	1	2		0	1	2	
37.	Academic problems	0	1	2		0	1	2	
38.	Behavioral problems	0	1	2		0	1	2	
Soci			1		1	0	1		
41.	Adequate to good peer social relationships	0	1	2		0	1	2	
42.	Juvenile is isolative	0	1	2		0	1	2	
43.	Juvenile is rejected by peers	0	1	2		0	1	2	
44.	Juvenile is overly aggressive with peers	0	1	2		0	1	2	
	hiatric Diagnosis or Prominent Features				1		1 4		
45.	ADHD – prominent attention deficits / hyperactivity	0	1	2		0	1	2	
46.	Autism – prominent behaviors consistent with autism spectrum	0	1	2		0	1	2	
47.	Developmental delay - symptoms suggesting possible dev. delay	0	1	2	_	0	1	2	
48.	Psychotic symptoms – symptoms suggesting delusions or hallucin.	0	1	2		0	1	2	
51.	Mood Disorder – prominent symptoms of depression / mania Anxiety Disorder – prominent anxiety symptoms	0	1	2		0	1	2	
52.	Conduct Disorder – prominent anxiety symptoms Conduct Disorder – prominent symptoms of conduct problems	0	1	2		0	1	2	
53.	Substance use	0	1	2	_	0	1	2	
54.	Obsessive Compulsive – prominent obsessive compulsive symptoms	0	1	2		0	1	2	
	er Stressors				_				
55.	Significant juvenile health problem	0	1	2		0	1	2	
56.	Significant family health problem	0	1	2		0	1	2	
57.	Significant juvenile legal problem	0	1	2		0	1	2	
58.	Family legal problem	0	1	2		0	1	2	

Appendix 7: Personality Inventory for Children (2nd Edition)

Personality Inventory for Children, 2nd Edition

General Information

The Personality Inventory for Children is an objective multidimensional test of child and adolescent behavior and emotional and cognitive status. The administrative booklet consists of 275 items to be completed by the child's parent or other rater who knows the child well.

Number of Versions: 2

Version: 2nd Edition

Author(s): Robert D. Wirt, David Lachar, James E. Klinedinst, Philip D. Seat,

William E. Broen

Date of Publication: 2001

Material(s) Needed for

Test:

Manual:
Time to Administer:

Instrument

Available
40 minutes

Charge for one form or Yes

kit:

Purpose and Nature of Test

Construct(s) Measured: Hyperactivity, Conduct problems, Social skills, Several

others

Population for which designed: Age Range: 5 through 19 years old

Grade Level: Kindergarten to High School Senior

Method of Administration: Individual **Source of Information:** Parent

Subtests and Scores: Cognitive Impairment, Impulsivity and Distractibility,

Delinquency, Family Dysfunction, Reality Distortion, Somatic Concern, Psychological Discomfort, Social

Withdrawal, Social Skills Deficits, Response Validity Scales

Number of Items: 275

Type of Scale: Forced choice

Technical Evaluation

Norms:

Sample Size: 2,306

Population: Two samples: 2,306 parents of boys and girls in Kindergarten through 12th

grade, collected from 23 urban, rural and suburban schools in 12 states, all socioeconomic levels and ethnic groups represented; and 1,551 parents whose

children had been referred for educational or clinical intervention.

Culture/ethnici African-American, Asian-American, Caucasian, Hispanic/Latino, Native-

ty: American, Other SES Level: Low to High

Reliability:

Psychometric information: Provided for Subscales.

The range of Test-Retest Value:

The range of Inter-rater reliability:

Not assessed
The range of Internal consistency:

0.82 to 0.92

Not assessed
0.81 to 0.92

Validity:

Criterion validity was assessed and found to be acceptable.

Practical Evaluation

Scoring Procedure: Manual and Computer Scoring

Examiner Qualifications and Training Required: Masters Degree

Permission Required to Use Instrument: Ye

If yes, by whom: Western Psychological Services

Notes

Original Reference(s):

Wirt, R. D., Lachar, D., Klinedinst, J. E., Seat, P. D., & Broen, W. E. (1977). Multidimensional evaluation of child personality: A manual for the Personality Inventory for Children. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services.

Other Reference(s):

Lachar, D., Butkus, M., & Hryhorczuk, L. (1978). Objective personality assessment of children: An exploratory study of the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC) in a child psychiatric setting. Journal of Personality Assessment, 42 (5), 529-537.

Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1979). Problem-behavior factor correlates of personality inventory for children profile scales. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 47 (1), 39-48.

DeHorn, A. B., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1979). Profile classification strategies for the Personality Inventory for Children. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 47 (5), 874-881.

Lachar, D., & Wirt, R. D. (1981). A data-based analysis of the psychometric performance of the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC): An alternative to the Achenbach review. Journal of Personality Assessment, 45 (6), 614-617.

Lachar, D., Gdowski, C. L., & Snyder, D. K. (1982). Broad-band dimensions of psychopathology: Factor scales for the Personality Inventory for Children. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 50 (5), 634-642.

Voelker, S., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1983). The Personality Inventory for Children and response to methylphenidate: Preliminary evidence for predictive utility. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 8 (2), 161-169.

- Lachar, D., & LaCombe, J. A. (1983). Objective personality assessment: The Personality Inventory for Children and its applications in the school setting. School Psychology Review, 12 (4), 399 -406.
- Lachar, D., Gdowski, C. L., & Snyder, D. K. (1984). External validation of the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC) profile and factor scales: Parent, teacher, and clinician ratings. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 52 (2), 155-164.
- Cornell, D. G. (1985). External validation of the Personality Inventory for Children Comment on Lachar, Gdowski, & Synder. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 53 (2), 273-274.
- Gdowski, C. L., Lachar, D., & Kline, R. B. (1985). A PIC profile typology of children and adolescents: 1. Empirically derived alternative to traditional diagnosis. Journal of Abnromal Psychology, 94 (3), 346-361.
- Lachar, D., Gdowski, C. L., & Snyder, D. K. (1985). Consistency of maternal report and the Personality Inventory for Children: Always useful and sometimes sufficient Reply to Cornell. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 53 (2), 275-276.
- Kline, R. B., Lachar, D., & Sprague, D. J. (1985). The Personality Inventory for Children (PIC): An unbiased predictor of cognitive and academic status. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 10 (4), 461-477.
- Forbes, G. B. (1986). Comparison of the short and original scales of the Personality Inventory for Children. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 42 (1), 129-132.
- Hulbert, T. A., Gdowski, C. L., & Lachar, D. (1986). Interparent agreement on the Personality Inventory for Children: Are substantial correlations sufficient? Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 14 (1), 115-122.
- Kline, R. B., Maltz, A., Lachar, D., Spector, S., & Fischhoff, J. (1987). Differentiation of infantile autistic, child-onsent pervasive developmental disorder, and mentally retarded children, with the Personality Inventory for Children. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 26 (6), 839-843.
- Lachar, D., Kline, R. B., & Gdowski, C. L. (1987). Respondent psychopathology and interpretive accuracy of the Personality Inventory for Children: The Evaluation of a "Most Reasonable" assumption. Journal of Personality Assessment, 51 (2), 165-177.
- Davies, A., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. (1987). Assessment of PIC and MMPI scales in adolescent psychosis: A caution. Adolescence, XXII (87), 571-578.
- Kline, R. B., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1987). A Personality Inventory for Children Profile typology of children and adolescents: II. Classification rules and specific behavior correlates. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 16 (3), 225-234.

Kline, R. B., Lachar, D., & Boersma, D. C. (1987). A personality inventory for children (PIC) profile typology: III. Relationship to cognitive functioning and classroom placement. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 4, 327-339.

Kline, R. B., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1988). Convergence and concurrent validity of DSM-III diagnoses and the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC). Canadian Journal of Science, 20 (3), 251-264.

Keenan, P. A., & Lachar, D. (1988). Screening preschoolers with special problems: Use of the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC). Journal of School Psychology, 26, 1-11.

Kline, R. B., & Lachar, D. (1992). Evaluation of age, sex, and race bias in the Personality Inventory for Children (PIC). Psychological Assessment, 4 (3), 333-339.

Wrobel, N. H., & Lachar, D. (1998). Validity of self- and parent-report scales in screening behavioral and emotional problems in elementary school. Psychology in the Schools, 35 (1), 17-27.

Reviewed in the Buros Institute, Mental Measurements Yearbook. http://www.unl.edu/buros/

Contact

Organization: Western Psychological Services

Address: 12031 Wilshire Blvd

City: Los Angeles

State: CA

Zip: 90025-1251 **Phone:** (800) 648-8857 **Fax:** (310) 478-7838

E-mail: custsvc@wpspublish.com
Website: http://www.wpspublish.com