References for Proposed APA Guidelines for Child Custody Evaluations in Family Law Proceedings

Total Number of Citations			61		
Total Number of Citations			61		
Forensic Journals & Books			33	54%	
Professional Guidelines			12	20%	
• 74% of the citations are from for other Guidelines	orensic	: pı	ublications	or from various	
Introductory Textbooks		4		7%	
Substance Abuse			7	11%	
Tele-psychology			2	3%	
"Trauma bonding"			1	2%	
Hawthorne Effect		2		3%	
Remaining Citations: Eliminate professional guidelines, substance abuse studies, introducto textbooks, and tele-psychology citat		forensic pu ry 3 other cita		ic citations from ublications tations (two e effect, one bonding")	
Citations after 2015			24	39%	
Forensic research citations from	m		21		
Before 2015:			19	60%	
Forensic research citations from	om				
After 2015:			13	40%	
Attachment					
Citations of Bowlby	0				
Citations of Ainsworth, Sroufe,	0				
Cassidy, Mains, Ruth-Lyons	Cassidy, Mains, Ruth-Lyons				
Family Systems					
Citations of Minuchin	0				
Citations of Bowen	0				
Citations of Haley, Madanes, Satir, Borzermenji-Nagy	0				
Trauma					
Citations of van der Kolk	0				
Citations of Perry	0				
Citations of Cicchetti	0				
Personality Disorders					
Citations of Beck	0				
Citations of Kernberg	0)			
Citations of Millon	0				
Citations of Linehan	0				
Child Development					
Citations of Tronick 0					
Citations of Kohut 0					

Unknown "Working Group" (2020)

References for Proposed APA Guidelines for Child Custody Evaluations in Family Law Proceedings

Forensic Publications

54% of all the citations are from forensic publications, with three journals accounting for the primary source (42%) of the forensic citations in the Reference section.

- Family Court Review 8 (24%)
- Journal of Child Custody 4 (12%)
- American Journal of Family Law 2 (6%)

42% of the cited forensic studies come from three forensic journals.

Opinion piece publications account for most of the forensic publications cited (78%), with two survey research studies of opinions. Only 12% of the literature cited involved actual research studies.

- Opinion piece publications: 26 (78%)
- Survey research of opinions: 2 (6%)
- Research: 4 (12%)
 - o MMPI meta-analysis
 - Research on note-taking accuracy
 - o Research on validity of observational measures
 - o Research on distance separations

84% of the forensic literature cited are opinion pieces, not direct research

Ackerman, M. J., & Pritzl, T. B. (2011). Child custody evaluation practices: A 20-year follow-up. *Family Court Review*, 49, 618-628.

• Survey research of opinions

Amundson, J. & Lux, G. (2019). Tippins and Wittman revisited: Law, social science, and the role of the child custody expert 14 years later. *Family Court Review*, *57*, 88-106.

• Opinion piece

 Austin, W. G., & Drozd, L. M. (2012). Intimate partner violence and child custody evaluation, part
1:Theoretical framework, forensic model, and assessment issues. *Journal of Child Custody: Research, Issues, and Practices, 9*(4), 250–309. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15379418.2012.749717</u>

• Opinion piece

op Austin, W., Bow, J. N., Knoll, A., & Ellens, R. (2016). Relocation issues in child custody evaluations: A survey of professionals. *Family Court Review*,

54, 477-486. https://doi.org/10.1111/fcre.12224

• Survey research of opinions

Calloway, G. C., & Lee, M. (2017). Using research to assess children and "hear" their voices in court proceedings. *American Journal of Family Law, 31,* 140-157.

• Opinion piece

Child information Gateway, Department of Health and Human Services. (2018). *Determining the best interests of the child*. https://www.child welfare.gov/topics/systemwides/laws-policies/statutes/best-interest

• Opinion piece

Chiu, E. Y. (2014). Psychological testingin child custody evaluations with ethnically diverse families: Ethical concerns and practice recommendations. *Journal of Child Custody*, *11*, 107-127.

- Opinion piece
- Davis, G. (2015). A systematic approach to domestic abuse–informed child custody decision making in family law cases. Family Court Review, 53(4), 565–577. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fcre.12173</u>
 - Opinion piece

Deutsch, R. M. (2008). Divorce in the 21st century: Multidisciplinary family interventions. *The Journal of Psychiatry & Law, 36,* 41-66.

• Opinion piece

DiFonzo, J. H. (2014). From the rule of one to shared parenting: Custody presumptions in law and parenting. *Family Court Review*, *52*, 213-228.

• Opinion piece

Drozd, L. M., Olesen, N. W., & Saini, M. A. (2013). *Parenting plan & child custody evaluations: Using decision trees to increase evaluator competence & avoid preventable errors*. Professional Resource Press.

• Opinion piece

Drozd, L., Saini, M., & Oleson, N. (Eds.). (2016). *Parenting plan evaluations: Applied research for the family court*. Oxford University Press.

• Opinion piece

Emery, R. E., Otto, R. K., & O'Donohue, W. T. (2005). A critical assessment of child custody evaluations: Limited science and a flawed system. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *6*, 1-29.

• Opinion piece

Emery, R. E. (2011). *Renegotiating family relationships: Divorce, child custody, and mediation*. Guilford.

Opinion piece

Farina, F., Redondo, L., Seijo, D., Novo, M., & Arce, R. (2017). A meta-analytic review of the MMPI validity scales and indexes to detect defensiveness in custody evaluations. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 17, 128-138. http://dx.doi.org/10/j.ijchp.2017.02.002

• Meta-analysis on MMPI

Geffner, R., Conradi, L., Geis, K., & Aranda, M. B. (2009). Conducting child custody evaluations in the context of family violence allegations: Practical techniques and suggestions for ethical practice. *Journal of Child Custody*, *6*, 189-218.

Opinion piece

Johnson, B. D., Berdahl, L. D., Horne, M., Richter, E. A., & Walter, M. –g. (2014). A parenting competency model. *Parenting: Science and Practice.* 14(2), 92-120. https://doi.org/10.1080/15295192.2014.914914361

- Opinion piece
- Lamb, M. E., Orbach, Y., Sternberg, K. J., Hershkowitz, I., & Horowitz, D. (2000). Accuracy of investigators' verbatim notes of their forensic interviews with alleged child abuse victims. *Law and Human Behavior*, *24*(6), 699-708).
 - Research on note-taking accuracy

- Langan, E. B. (2016). The elimination of child "custody" litigation: Using business branding techniques to transform social behavior. *Pace Law Review, 36,* 375-437.
 - Opinion piece
- Lund, M. E. (2015). The place for child custody evaluations in family peacemaking. *Family Court Review*, *53*, 407-417.
 - Opinion piece

Melton, G. B., Petrila, J., Poythress, N. G., Slobogin, C., Otto, R. K., Mossman, D., & Condie, L. O. (2018). Child custody in divorce. In *Psychological evaluations for the courts* (4th ed., pp. 530–555). Guilford.

• Opinion piece

Mihura, J. L. (2012). The necessity of multiple test methods in conducting assessments: The role of the Rorschach and self-report. *Psychological Injury and Law, 5*, 97–106.

• Opinion piece

Op Neal, T. M. S., Slobogin, C., Saks, M. J., Faigman, D. L., & Geisinger, K. F. (2020). Psychological assessments in legal contexts: Are courts keeping "junk science" out of the courtroom? *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *20*, 135–164. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100619888860.

Opinion piece

Saini, M., & Ma, J. (2012). Cultural dynamics of divorce and parenting

- . In K. Kuehnle & L. Drodz (Eds.), *Parenting plan evaluations: Applied research for the family court* (pp. 514-539). Oxford.
 - Opinion piece

Saini, M., & Polak, S. (2014). The ecological validity of parent-child observations: A review of empirical evidence related to custody evaluations. *Journal of Child Custody*, *11*, 181-201.

• Research on validity of observational data

Schore, A., & Mcintosh, J. D. (2011). Family law and the neuroscience of attachment, part 1. *Family Court Review, 49,* 501-502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-1617.2011.01387.x</u>

Opinion piece

Shumaker, D. M., Miller, C., Ortiz, C., & Deutsch, R. (2011). The forgotten bonds: The assessment and contemplation of sibling attachment in divorce and parental separation. *Family Court Review*, 49, 46-58. https://doi.org/10, 111/j.1744-1617.2010.01352x

Opinion piece

Stevenson, M. M., Fabricius, W. V., Braver, S. L., & Cookston, J. T. (2018) Associations between parental relocation following separation in childhood and maladjustmentin adolescence and young adulthood. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 24*, 365–378. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/law0000172

Research on distance separations

Symons, D. K. (2010). A review of the practice and science of child custody and access assessment in the United States and Canada. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 41*, 267-273.

• Opinion piece

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000).

Court case

C.A. Childress, Psy. D. Review (2/1/20)
Turkat, I. (2018). Psychologist recommendations in custody disputes can be harmful, even fatal. <i>American Journal of Family Law, 32,</i> 5-8.996
Opinion piece
Waller, E. M., & Daniel A. E. (2004). Purpose and utility of child custody evaluations: From the perspective of judges. <i>Journal of Psychiatry & Law, 32</i> , 5-27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/009318530403200102</u>
Opinion piece
Warshak, R. (2015). Ten parental alienation fallacies that compromise decisions in court and in therapy. <i>Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 46,</i> 235-249. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pro0000031
Opinion piece
Guidelines
Twelve Guidelines cited (20% of the citations are of other Guidelines)
APA Guidelines for: • Testing • Child Custody Evaluations (1994) • Record Keeping • Child Custody Evaluations (2010) • Child Protection • Forensic Psychology • Telepsychology • Psychological Assessment • Developing Guidelines • Ethics Code • Cultural Competency
American Educational Research Association (AERA), American Psychological Association, & National Council of Measurement in Education. (2014). <i>Standards for educational and psychological testing</i> . AERA.
American Psychological Association. (1994). Guidelines for child custody evaluations in divorce proceedings. American Psychologist, 49, 677-680.
American Psychological Association. (2007). Record keeping guidelines. American Psychologist, 68,993- 1004.
American Psychological Association. (2010). Guidelines for child custody evaluations in family law proceedings. American Psychologist, 65, 863-867.
American Psychological Association. (2013a). Guidelines for psychological evaluations in child protection matters. <i>American Psychologist, 68,</i> 20-31.
American Psychological Association. (2013b). Specialty guidelines for forensic psychology. American Psychologist, 68, 7-19.
American Psychological Association. (2013c). Guidelines for the practice of telepsychology. <i>American</i> <i>Psychologist, 68,</i> 791-800.
American Psychological Association. (in press). <i>Professional guidelines for psychological assessment and evaluation</i> . Author.

and users. American Psychologist, 70, 823-831.

American Psychological Association (2017a). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct* (2002, Amended June 1, 2010 and January 1, 2017). https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/index.aspx

American Psychological Association (2017b). *Multicultural guidelines: An ecological approach to context, identity, and intersectionality*. <u>http://www.apa.org/about/policy/multicultural-guidelines.pdf</u>

Committee on Psychological Tests and Assessment, American Psychological Association. (2007). Statement on third party observers in psychological testing and assessment: A framework for decision making. <u>https://www.apa.org/science/programs/testing/third-party-observers.pdf</u>

Introductory Textbooks

Groth-Marnat, G., & Wright, A. J. (2016). *Handbook of psychological assessment* (7th ed.). Wiley.

Hopwood, C. J., & Bornstein, R. F. (2014). *Multimethod clinical assessment*. Guilford Press.

Howard, J. A., & Renfrow, D. G. (2014). Intersectionality. In J. D. McLeod, E. J. Lawler, & M. Schwalbe (Eds.), *Handbook of the social psychology of inequality* (pp. 95–121). Springer.

Gallardo, M. E. (2014). Developing cultural humility: Embracing race, privilege and power. Sage

Substance Abuse Citations

7 citations for subtance abuse (11%)

- Boles, S. M., & Miotto, K. (2003). Substance abuse and violence: A review of the literature. *Aggressionand Violent Behavior*, *8*, 155-174.
- Bracken, B. K., Rodolico, J., & Hill, K. P. (2013). Sex, age, and progression of drug use in adolescents admitted for substance abuse disorder treatment in the northeastern United States: Comparison with a national survey. *Substance Abuse*, *34*, 263-272.

National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2018). Screening and assessment tools chart. <u>https://tinyurl.com/nida-screening</u>

Ondersma, S. J., Chang, G., Blake-Lamb, T., Gilstad-Hayden, K., Orav, J., Beatty, J. R., Goyert, G. L., & Yonkers, K. A. (2019). Accuracy of five self-report screening instruments for substance abuse in pregnancy. *Addiction*, *114*, 1683-1693.

Soper, R. G. (2014, October 6). Intimate partner violence and co-occurring substance abuse/addiction. American Society of Addiction Medicine. https://tinyurl.com/ASAM2014article

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (n.d.). Screening tools. https://tinyurl.com/samsha-screening

Tucker, J. S., Miles, J. N., D'Amico, E. J., Zhou, A. J., Green, H. D., & Shih, R. A. (2013). Temporal associations of popularity and alcohol use among middle school students. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52, 108-115.

Telepsychology Citations

McCord, C., Bernhard, P., Walsh, M., Rosner, C., & Console, K. (2020). A consolidated model for telepsychology practice. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 76(6), 1060–1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22954</u>

57Sage, T. E., & Sage, M. (2016). Social media use in child welfare practice. *Advances in Social Work*, *17*, 93-112.

"Trauma Bonding: citation

.

Reid, J. A., Haskell, R. A., Dillahunt-Aspillaga, C., and Thor, J. A. (2013). Contemporary review of empirical and clinical studies of trauma bonding in violent or exploitative relationships. *International Journal of Psychology Research*, *8*, 37-73.

Hawthorn effect of observation

Goodwin, M. A., Stange, K. C., Zyzanski, S. J., Crabtree, B. F., Borawski, E. A., & Flocke, S. A. (2017). The Hawthorne effect in direct observation research with physicians and patients. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, *23*, 1322-1328

61Henry, S. G., Jerant, A. J., Iosif, A., Feldman, M. D., Cipri, C., & Kravitz, R. L. (2015). Analysis of threats to research validity introduced by audio recording clinic visits: Hawthorne effect, both, or neither? *Patient Education and Counseling*, *98*, 849-856.